

CS 428

WEEK #8 READINGS

Fall 2019, Week #8

Bruce F. Webster

- ▶ Probably one of the single most important essays ever written about IT
 - ▶ Core argument: “Building software will always be hard. There is inherently no silver bullet [to slay the monsters of software development].”
- ▶ Four inescapable essential difficulties in software development
 - ▶ Complexity: increases non-linearly with program size, both technically and managerially
 - ▶ Conformity: code must “work with” its ever-more-complex environment
 - ▶ Changeability: constant pressure to improve or fix existing systems
 - ▶ Invisibility: software is extremely hard to inspect and examine (vs., say, a building)

MMM CH 16: NO SILVER BULLET – ESSENCE AND ACCIDENT IN SOFTWARE ENGINEERING (1986)

- ▶ Things that do help
 - ▶ Buy vs. build
 - ▶ Buy and adapt (or adapt to) an existing solution that someone else had built and maintains
 - ▶ Requirements refinement and rapid prototyping
 - ▶ "...it is really impossible for clients, even those working with software engineers, to specify completely, precisely, and correctly the exact requirements of a modern software product before having built and tried some versions of the product they are specifying."
 - ▶ Incremental development
 - ▶ "A large, complex system that works is inevitably found to have evolved from a small, simple system that works." – John Gall, Infomatics
 - ▶ Great designers
 - ▶ "The very best designers produce structures that are faster, smaller, simpler, cleaner, and produced with less effort. . . . Those software systems that have excited passionate fans are the products of one or a few designing minds, great designers."
- ▶ Analysis and observations?

MMM CH 16: NO SILVER BULLET (CONT.)

- ▶ “I can’t help noticing that the nostrums published so vigorously in 1986 and 1987 have not had the dramatic effects claimed.”
- ▶ Brad Cox in 1990: “The reusable, interchangeable component approach [is] an attack on the conceptual essence of the problem.” This led to the ‘reuse’ push of the 1990s, which failed utterly.
- ▶ David Harel in 1992 offers “The Vanilla Framework”. Ever heard of it?
- ▶ Object-oriented development: also another brass slug (hence my book “Pitfalls of Object-Oriented Development” [1995])
- ▶ Brooks says his analysis stands; 30 years later, I agree with him.
- ▶ Analysis and observations?

MMM CH 17: “NO SILVER BULLET” REFIRED

- ▶ “[Those making workplace decisions] are not themselves doing the kind of work that is likely to suffer from a poor environment.”
- ▶ Goals are focused on ease and flexibility of setting up the physical workspace, not on productivity of those who work there.
- ▶ Attitude: If everyone can’t have a window, then no one can.
- ▶ “Almost without exception, the work space given to intellect workers is noisy, interruptive, un-private, and sterile.”
- ▶ Observations and feedback?

PW CH 7: THE FURNITURE POLICE

- ▶ "...overtime is not so much a means to increase the *quantity* of work time as to improve its average *quality*."
 - ▶ Fewer interruptions/disturbances outside of regular work hours or at home
- ▶ Individual differences (best outperform worse by 10:1)
- ▶ Productivity non-factors: language, years of experience, defects, salary
- ▶ There is also a 10:1 difference in productivity among software organizations
 - ▶ Cf. "Dead Sea Effect"
- ▶ Top performers' space is quieter, more private, better protected from interruption, larger
- ▶ Observations and feedback?

PW CH 8: "YOU NEVER GET ANYTHING DONE AROUND HERE BETWEEN 9 AND 5"

- ▶ Cost-saving trend towards less privacy, less dedicated space, more noise
- ▶ But cost of work space is small fraction of cost of developer – false economy
- ▶ Claims of greater productivity & interaction for open space aren't supported
- ▶ Correlations between perceived noise level and defects in work
 - ▶ Zero-defect workers: 66% reported noise level ok
 - ▶ 1-or-more defects: 8% reported noise level ok
- ▶ Noise is generally proportional to workplace density
- ▶ Worker response is often to “hide out” where it's quieter
- ▶ Observations and feedback?

PW CH 9: SAVING MONEY ON SPACE

- ▶ So, why isn't this all obvious and followed? Because of how few firms know how to or are willing to measure impact of environment on productivity
- ▶ But: "Given that there are 10:1 differences from one organization to another in productivity, you simply can't afford to remain ignorant of where you stand."
- ▶ Observations and feedback?

PW PART II INTERMEZZO: PRODUCTIVITY MEASUREMENT

- ▶ During single-minded work time, people are ideally in “flow” state
 - ▶ Deep, nearly meditative involvement
 - ▶ Sense of euphoria
 - ▶ Unaware of passage of time
- ▶ It takes time to enter “flow” state, and interruptions force you to restart
 - ▶ Constant interruptions keep us in a state of “no-flow” and far less productive
- ▶ E-Factor: uninterrupted hours / body-present hours
 - ▶ Boss: “Can’t you do [your thinking] at home?”
- ▶ Observations and feedback?

PW CHAPTER 10: BRAIN TIME VS BODY TIME

- ▶ Chapter is a touch dated – younger generation has learned to ignore phones
- ▶ But now: various messaging feeds and apps, social media, e-mail, etc., can all interrupt our flow
- ▶ To achieve and preserve flow, we have to be willing to shut off these distractions
- ▶ Observations and feedback?

PW CHAPTER 11: THE TELEPHONE

- ▶ Like windows, doors are frequently a status symbol – and therefore, if everyone can't have no, nobody can have one
- ▶ Workers aren't inspired or made more productive because the (open) workplace has “fashionable” or “daring” or “amusing” design
- ▶ Piping music into an open workplace doesn't help either
- ▶ It's great to have “vital” space for spontaneous interaction w/others, but most IT production is solitary, flow-based intellectual work
- ▶ Observations and feedback?

PW CHAPTER 12: BRING BACK THE DOOR

- ▶ Christopher Alexander's *The Timeless Way of Building* and design pattern
 - ▶ Alexander on workspaces (pp. 82-83)
 - ▶ Cubicles are almost the direct opposite of what Alexander points out
- ▶ Tailored workspaces from a pattern
- ▶ Use of windows
- ▶ Indoor and outdoor space
- ▶ Public space
- ▶ "No two people have to have exactly the same work space."
- ▶ Observations and feedback?

PW CHAPTER 13: TAKING UMBRELLA STEPS

- ▶ Where code deployments are most painful => poorest software delivery performance, organizational performance, culture
- ▶ Detecting deployment pain:
 - ▶ Are deployments feared?
 - ▶ Are deployments disruptive to work?
- ▶ To reduce deployment pain, build systems that:
 - ▶ Are designed to be deployed easily into multiple environments
 - ▶ Can detect and tolerate failures in their environments
 - ▶ Can have various components of the systems updated independently (loose coupling)
 - ▶ Also: ensure state of production systems can be reproduced automatically from version control
 - ▶ And: build intelligence into the app & platform so that deployment is simple as possible


ACC CHAPTER 9: MAKING WORK SUSTAINABLE

- ▶ Burnout: “physical, mental, or emotional exhaustion caused by overwork or stress”
 - ▶ Work overload: job demands exceed human limits
 - ▶ Lack of control: inability to influence decisions that affect your job
 - ▶ Insufficient rewards: financial, institutional, social
 - ▶ Breakdown of community: unsupportive work environment
 - ▶ Absence of fairness in decision-making process
 - ▶ Value conflict between organization and individual

AC CHAPTER 9 (CONT.)

- ▶ How to fight/reduce burnout
 - ▶ Improve organizational culture (generative rather than bureaucratic or pathological)
 - ▶ Reduce or eliminate deployment pain
 - ▶ Hire and empower effective leaders
 - ▶ Invest in best practices wisely (including training and pilot projects for developments)
 - ▶ Adopt changes to improve organizational performance
- ▶ Continuous Delivery + Lean Practices => Less Deployment Pain + Less Burnout

ACC CHAPTER 9 (CONT.)



This is a simple temperature-depth ocean water profile. You can see temperature decreases with increasing depth. The thermocline are layers of water where the temperature changes rapidly with depth. This temperature-depth profile is what you might expect to find in low to middle latitudes. *Windows to the Universe original image*

WEB #6: THE THERMOCLINE OF TRUTH (2008) [[LINK](#)]

- ▶ A line drawn across the organizational chart that represents a barrier to accurate information regarding the project's progress
 - ▶ Those below this level tend to know how well the project is actually going
 - ▶ Those above it tend to have a more optimistic (if unrealistic) view
- ▶ Why does it form?
 - ▶ Lack of true metrics (objective, automated, predictive) on project status
 - ▶ Excessive optimism on part of engineers
 - ▶ Self-protection on the part of managers going up the chain
 - ▶ Top management tends to reward good news and punish bad news

THE THERMOCLINE OF TRUTH (CONT.)

- ▶ Consequence: as the deadline draws near, the actual project status tends to move upward in the management chain
 - ▶ Hence the classic “slip the project schedule three weeks before delivery” pattern
- ▶ How to avoid it
 - ▶ Honesty and outspokenness on the part of engineers and managers
 - ▶ Rewarding that honesty
 - ▶ Upper management actively seeking out from lower levels realistic feedback on project
 - ▶ Avoiding the temptation of the “quick fix to ship”

THE THERMOCLINE OF TRUTH (CONT.)

- ▶ Quality of work and effort
- ▶ Project planning and execution
- ▶ Quality assurance and process
- ▶ Architecture
- ▶ Application performance
- ▶ Staffing
- ▶ Management principles
- ▶ Intellectual honesty

WEB #6: ANATOMY OF A RUNAWAY IT PROJECT (2008) [[LINK](#)]

- ▶ Septic code is why some large IT projects never go live
 - ▶ Some portion of the source code created to date is so bad and has such a negative impact on other code that relies upon it that the project will never stabilize
 - ▶ Only solution: cut that course code out of the project and throw it away; write brand new source code in its place.
 - ▶ Sometimes requires complete reboot of project from scratch
- ▶ Reasons:
 - ▶ Use of un- or underqualified software engineers and architects
 - ▶ Poor hiring techniques and bad management
 - ▶ Doing too much too quickly
 - ▶ Lack of conceptual unity (solid architecture)
 - ▶ Lack of effective software quality assurance

WEB #6: SEPTIC CODE (2013) [[LINK](#)]

- ▶ Temptation: the appearance (illusion, really) of progress
 - ▶ Prototyping user interface
 - ▶ Use of third-party libraries, engines, utilities
 - ▶ Getting important modules to “80% completion” and then moving on
- ▶ Finishing that last 10-20% is where things drag on forever
 - ▶ All the hardest problems have been deferred to the end
 - ▶ Can find yourself in “solution deadlock” among remaining hard problems
- ▶ Solution: courage to actively identify and tackle hardest problems first
 - ▶ Initial progress will be slow, but you will be more likely to be able to predict completion

WEB #6; DO NOT DEFER THE DIFFICULT IN IT
PROJECTS (BASELINE, 2008) [[LINK](#)]

- ▶ By midnight on Saturday (11/2):
 - ▶ Latest team status report
 - ▶ Individually: watch next podcast (#7)
- ▶ By class on Monday (11/4):
 - ▶ Read Peopleware parts III and IV
 - ▶ Read Accelerate, chapter 10
 - ▶ Start working through Webster #7 (will cover on 11/18)
- ▶ Reminder: first demos on 11/18
- ▶ Reminder: midterm on 11/25

FOR NEXT WEEK (11/4)