CS 428

THE MYTHICAL MAN-MONTH CHAPTERS 1, 2

Winter 2022

Bruce F. Webster


WHY THE MYTHICAL MAN-MONTH?

- Originally published in 1975; updated in 1995
- Based on Fred Brook's experience overseeing the development of OS/360 for the IBM/360
- Remains a classic because it set forth most of the fundamental issues and causes of delays and failures in software projects
- Software failures still cost somewhere on the order of \$100 billion/year worldwide, and most of the root causes can be found in Brooks
- Me, before Congress, in 1998:

"Humanity has been developing information technology for half a century. That experience has taught us this unpleasant truth: virtually every information technology project above a certain size or complexity is significantly late and over budget or fails altogether; those that don't fail are often riddled with defects and difficult to enhance. Fred Brooks explored many of the root causes over twenty years ago in *The Mythical Man-Month*, a classic book that could be regarded as the Bible of information technology because it is universally known, often quoted, occasionally read, and rarely heeded."

CHAPTER 1: THE TAR PIT

- Concept: levels of complexity in types of software
 - A program for yourself (personal program)
 - A program for others (commercial software)
 - A programming system (personal OS, framework, etc.)
 - A programming system product (commercial OS)
- What are some other types of added software complexity?
- What can make software difficult to maintain and update?



THE TAR PIT (CONT.)

- The Joys of the Craft of Programming
 - The sheer joy of making things
 - The pleasure of making things that are useful to other people
 - The fascination of building complex systems
 - The joy [heh] of always learning
 - The delight of working in such a tractable medium "only slightly removed from pure thought-stuff...yet...is real in the sense that it move and works, producing visible outputs separate from the construct itself"
- Why else do people enjoy software engineering (assuming they do)?

THE TAR PIT (CONT.)

- The Woes of the Craft
 - You must perform perfectly
 - Other people set your objectives, provide your resources, and furnish your information
 - Usually your authority is not sufficient for your responsibility
 - You often depend upon other people's programs, which are less than perfect
 - The upper bound of quality of a complex system is determined by the lowest quality of any of its essential components
 - Designing grand concepts is fun; finding nitty little bugs is just work
 - Debugging has at best linear convergence
 - The product is often obsolete before it is completed
- What are other painful things you've discovered about software engineering?

CHAPTER 2: THE MYTHICAL MAN-MONTH

- Root causes of software project delays and failure
 - Our techniques of estimation are [still] poorly developed
 - Our estimation techniques confuse effort with progress (people & months are interchangeable)
 - Because we are uncertain of our estimates, we often lack the courage to say we don't know when we'll be done
 - Schedule progress is poorly monitored and hard to measure
 - When the schedule slips, the impulse is to add staff, which is "like dousing a fire with gasoline"
- What have you observed?

- "All programmers are optimists"
 - Only optimists build complex systems. (Adele Goldberg)
 - We too often assume each task will take only as long as it "ought" to take
 - The probability that a given task will go well may be relatively high, but a meaningful software project comprises hundreds if not thousands of such tasks
 - Thus: It is very easy to lose a day; it is impossible to make it up.
 - Additional complication: we tend to focus on the easy tasks first and defer the difficult problems until late in the project – illusion of great progress
- What are some other ways in which we tend to be overly optimistic?

- The Man-Month
 - The "man-month" as a unit for measuring the size of a software engineering project is a dangerous and deceptive myth
 - Sequential constraints in development as well as communication requirements make the "man-month" concept unrealistic (and self-deluding)
 - Adding a person to a project not only increases the communication paths and requirements, it also costs time for bringing the new person up to speed
 - Thus, adding more people lengthens, not shortens, the schedule (Brooks Law)
- In light of the above, what do you think the impact of personnel turnover is?

- Component debugging and system testing forces sequential constraints
 - Testing is usually the most mis-scheduled (underestimated) part of programming
 - Brooks' rule of thumb: 1/3rd planning, 1/6th coding, 1/4th component test, 1/4th system test
 - "I found that few allowed one-half the project schedule for testing, but that most did indeed spend half of the actual schedule for that purpose."
 - The 90/90 rule: 90% of the work takes the first 90% of the schedule, and the remaining 10% of the work takes the other 90% of the schedule
 - Underestimation of system testing (integration, end-to-end, performance, stress) is particularly damaging since it shows up right when project completion is expected

- Gutless estimating
 - Endemic in our industry
 - Completion date is picked because "we have to have it by then" or to meet a "market opportunity", not based on any rational basis or realistic estimate
 - Upper management often does not want to hear a realistic estimate
- Regenerative schedule disaster
 - So, what happens when the project is late? "Add people to it. Work longer hours." Both are counter-productive.
 - Only real solution: slip deadline and/or drop features.
- Observations?

ASSIGNMENTS FOR NEXT CLASS (01/10/22)

- By midnight on Saturday, 01/08
 - Propose at least one group project on the class wiki
- By start of class next Monday (01/10)
 - Read "Five Orders of Ignorance" (Armour) link on class website
 - Read "Heuristics for Systems-Level Architecting" (Meier & Rechtin) link on class website
 - Read Chapter 1, 2, 4, 5 of *The Mythical Man-Month*
 - Review projects on class wiki; be prepared to vote on them next class