CS 428
THE MYTHICAL MAN-MONTH
CHAPTER 1, 2, 4, 5

FALL 2017 – BRUCE F. WEBSTER

WHY *THE MYTHICAL MAN-MONTH*?

- Originally published in 1975; updated in 1995
- Based on his role overseeing the development of OS/360 for the IBM/360
- Remains a classic because it set forth most of the fundamental issues and causes of delays and failures in software projects
- Software failures still cost somewhere on the order of $100 billion/year worldwide, and most of the root causes can be found in Brooks
- Me, before Congress, in 1998:

  "Humanity has been developing information technology for half a century. That experience has taught us this unpleasant truth: virtually every information technology project above a certain size or complexity is significantly late and over budget or fails altogether; those that don't fail are often riddled with defects and difficult to enhance. Fred Brooks explored many of the root causes over twenty years ago in *The Mythical Man-Month*, a classic book that could be regarded as the Bible of information technology because it is universally known, often quoted, occasionally read, and rarely heeded."

CHAPTER 1: THE TAR PIT

- Concept: levels of complexity in types of software
  - Individual program for personal use
  - Commercial product for distribution and sale (word processor, game, app)
  - “Programming system” (custom operating system, large-scale integrated system) for in-house use
  - Commercial “programming system” (OS, ERP, etc.) for distribution and sale
- What are some other types of added software complexity?
- What can make software difficult to maintain and update?

THE TAR PIT (CONT.)

- The Joys of the Craft of Programming
  - The sheer joy of making things
  - The pleasure of making things that are useful to other people
  - The fascination of building complex systems
  - The joy [heh] of always learning
  - The delight of working in such a tractable medium “only slightly removed from pure thought-stuff…yet…is real in the sense that it move and works, producing visible outputs separate from the construct itself”
- Why else do people enjoy software engineering (assuming they do)?

THE TAR PIT (CONT.)

- The Woes of the Craft
  - You must perform perfectly
  - Other people set your objectives, provide your resources, and furnish your information
    - Usually your authority is not sufficient for your responsibility
  - You often depend upon other people's programs, which are less than perfect
    - The upper bound of quality of a complex system is determined by the lowest quality of any of its essential components
  - Designing grand concepts is fun; finding nitty little bugs is just work
  - Debugging has *at best* linear convergence
  - The product is often obsolete before it is completed
- What are other painful things you've discovered about software engineering?

CHAPTER 2: THE MYTHICAL MAN-MONTH

- Root causes of software project delays and failure
  - Our techniques of estimation are [still] poorly developed
  - Our estimation techniques confuse effort with progress (people & months are interchangeable)
  - Because we are uncertain of our estimates, we often lack the courage to say we don't know when we'll be done
  - Schedule progress is poorly monitored and hard to measure
  - When the schedule slips, the impulse is to add staff, which is "like dousing a fire with gasoline"

THE MYTHICAL MAN-MONTH (CONT.)

- "All programmers are optimists"
  - Only optimists build complex systems. (Adele Goldberg)
  - We too often assume each task will take only as long as it "ought" to take
  - The probability that a given task will go well may be relatively high, but a meaningful software project comprises hundreds if not thousands of such tasks
  - Thus: It is very easy to lose a day; it is impossible to make it up.
  - Additional complication: we tend to focus on the easy tasks first and defer the difficult problems until late in the project – illusion of great progress
- What are some other ways in which we tend to be overly optimistic?

THE MYTHICAL MAN-MONTH (CONT.)

- The Man-Month
  - The “man-month” as a unit for measuring the size of a software engineering project is a dangerous and deceptive myth
  - Sequential constraints in development as well as communication requirements make the “man-month” concept unrealistic (and self-deluding)
  - Adding a person to a project not only increases the communication paths and requirements, it also costs time for bringing the new person up to speed
  - Thus, adding more people lengthens, not shortens, the schedule (Brooks Law)
- In light of the above, what do you think the impact of personnel turnover is?

THE MYTHICAL MAN-MONTH (CONT.)

- Component debugging and system testing forces sequential constraints
  - Testing is usually the most mis-scheduled (underestimated) part of programming
  - Brooks' rule of thumb: 1/3rd planning, 1/6th coding, 1/4th component test, 1/4th system test
  - "I found that few allowed one-half the project schedule for testing, but that most did indeed spend half of the actual schedule for that purpose."
  - The 90/90 rule: 90% of the work takes the first 90% of the schedule, and the remaining 10% of the work takes the other 90% of the schedule
  - Underestimation of system testing (integration, end-to-end, performance, stress) is particularly damaging since it shows up right when project completion is expected

THE MYTHICAL MAN-MONTH (CONT.)

- Gutless estimating
  - Endemic in our industry
  - Completion date is picked because “we have to have it by then” or to meet a “market opportunity”, not based on any rational basis or realistic estimate
  - Upper management often does not want to hear a realistic estimate
- Regenerative schedule disaster
  - So, what happens when the project is late? “Add people to it. Work longer hours.” Both are counter-productive.
  - Only real solution: slip deadline and/or drop features.
- Observations?

ARISTOCRACY, DEMOCRACY, AND SYSTEM DESIGN

- Brooks: conceptual integrity is *the* most important consideration in system design (I agree)
- Simplicity, straightforwardness, unity of design are necessary
- The design must proceed from one mind or a very small number of agreeing resonant minds
- The conceptual integrity of a system determines its ease of use
- A consistent architecture enhances the creative style of implementers
- A well-thought-out architecture increases the robustness and adaptability of the resulting software system

THE SECOND-SYSTEM EFFECT

- Interactive discipline for the architect
  - The architecture is valuable input into estimating the implementation and testing
  - If the schedule is unacceptably long, the architect can look for ways to simplify
  - Big challenge: features that may seem simple to the customer may actually be very difficult to design and implement
- The second-system effect
  - Brooks notes later that true iterative development can diminish this problem, but…
  - The first shipping version usually has many deferred features; there is a strong temptation to throw in “everything but the kitchen sink” into version 1.1 or 2.0
- Real-world issue: incurring ‘technical debt’ and not handling it

ASSIGNMENTS FOR NEXT CLASS (9/18)

- Readings:
  - The Mythical Man-Month, chapters 7, 11, 14 [Learning Suite]
  - “The Five Orders of Ignorance” by Phillip Armor (online) [Learning Suite]
- Watch one podcast w/associated reading (if any) [Learning Suite]
- Post (at least) one project idea on GitHub [Billable Hours on Learning Suite]
- Above assignments all due by midnight on Saturday (9/16)
- By next class, go on GitHub and vote on at least three proposed projects